

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D. C. 20231
www.uspto.gov.

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
APPLICATION NO.		Kalyanaraman Ramnarayan	24737-1906B	4748	
09/704,362	11/01/2000				
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 4250 EXECUTIVE SQ 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER BRUSCA, JOHN S		
			1631	7	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002	2 (	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	-	Application No.		Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary		09/704,362		RAMNARAYAN E	T AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
	_	John S Brusca	- L - A	1631	idress
Period for A SHO	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.	LY IS SET TO EXP	IRE <u>1</u> MONTH	(S) FROM	
- Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	ions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the XX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuply received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eply within the statutory mining will apply and will expire the cause the application to the date of this communication.	SIX (6) MONTHS from	n the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fi	nai.	prosecution as to	the merits is
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for for to er Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	rotion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from conside	ration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		4		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirer	nent.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
0,57	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	I Alba a. T	vaminor	
10)□	= is/are: a) ∏ a	ccepted or b) obje	cted to by the	Soc 37 CFR 1 85/	(a).
1	the state of the s	o the drawing(s) be f	ela in abeyance.	00000101111	miner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] appro	ved b) L disap	proved by the Line	
1	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Utilice	action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		051100 \$ 11	0(a) (d) or (f)	
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under	35 0.5.6. 9	9(a)-(d) 01 (1).	
a	N All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been re	ceived.	antine No	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been re	ceived in Appl	cation No	nal Stage
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations	priority documents al Bureau (PCT Ru	have been red e 17.2(a)). copies not red	eived in this National eived.	onai Stage
,	* See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provis	ional application)
• 1		io provisional annik	ישט פסון ווטווא:	110001100.	
15)[	a)	mestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.	
Attachm				nmary (PTO-413) Pap	er No(s)
1 —	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	, 48) 5)	Interview Sur   Notice of Info   Other:	ormal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)
"					Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/704,362

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19 and 33-37 drawn to a method of polymorphism-based drug candidate design, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 2. Claims 20-22, drawn to a method of polymorphism-based drug therapy selection, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 3. Claim 23, drawn to a method of polymorphism-based clinical prognosis prediction, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 4. Claim 24, drawn to a method of polymorphism-based drug design against drugresistant targets, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 5. Claim 25, drawn to a method of identification of compensatory mutations, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 6. Claims 26-32 and 38-40, drawn to a method of making a polymorphism database and computer systems comprising a polymorphism database, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1-6 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods with different steps that produce different results.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,362

Art Unit: 1631

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1-6 are not coextensive, as a result of the differences in the scope of the claimed methods, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For Invention 1, the species are protease targets (claims 33 and 34), reverse transcriptase targets (claims 33, 34, and 35), an HIV enzyme (claims 33, 36, and 37).

For Invention 6, the species are cell surface targets (claim 39), protease targets (claims 39 and 40), and polymerase targets (claims 39 and 40).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, for Invention 1, claims 1-19 are generic. For Invention 6, claims 26-32 and 38 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/704,362

Art Unit: 1631

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Stephanie L. Seidman on 14 January 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is  $703\ 308-4231$ . The examiner can normally be reached on M\_F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703 308-4025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746-5137 for regular communications and 703 746-5137 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

John S. Brusca
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1631

jsb January 15, 2002